

STATE OF ILLINOIS)
)SS
COUNTY OF LEE)

In the Matter of the Petition
 of
South Dixon Solar Development
Lee County, Illinois

Testimony of Witnesses
Produced, Sworn and
Examined on this 14th day
of October, A.D., 2021,
before the Lee County
Zoning Board of Appeals

Present:

Craig Buhrow
Glen Hughes
Gene Bothe
Bruce Forster, Chairman

Alice Henkel, Secretary/Renewable Energy Coordinator
Dee Duffy, Zoning Enforcement Officer

Honorable Judge Tim Slavin, Facilitator

1 APPEARANCES:

2 LEE COUNTY STATE'S ATTORNEY CHARLES BOONSTRA
3 of the Lee County State's Attorney's Office
309 South Galena Avenue, Suite 300
4 Dixon, Illinois 61021

5 Counsel for the County.

6 ATTORNEY KYLE C. BARRY
of the firm of McGuireWoods
7 1 North Old State Capitol Plaza, Suite 410
Springfield, Illinois 62701

8 Co-Counsel for the Applicant.

9 ATTORNEY COURTNEY KENNEDY
10 of the firm of Ehrmann Gehlbach Badger &
Considine
215 East First Street, Suite 100
11 Dixon, Illinois 61021

12 Co-Counsel for the Applicant.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24

INDEX

Witness: MIKE MaROUS

Examination Page

Attorney Barry (Direct)	6
Mr. Buhrow.	39
Mr. Lawson.	43
Ms. Johannsen	50
Ms. Lawson.	53
Ms. Brechon	58

Witness: TOM HUDDLESTON

Examination Page

Attorney Kennedy (Direct)	60
Mr. Lawson.	70
Ms. Johannsen	71
Ms. Lawson.	73
Mr. Mix	75
Mr. Fitts	76

EXHIBITS

Exhibit Marked Admitted

Petitioner's Exhibit Number 3.	7	59
--	---	----

Certificate of Shorthand Reporter		80
---	--	----

1 JUDGE SLAVIN: All right. Welcome back,
2 ladies and gentlemen.

3 I call out of recess Lee County Zoning
4 Board of Appeals hearing on Petition Number
5 21-P-1566, South Dixon Solar, LLC's, request for
6 a Special Use Permit to construct a solar energy
7 system in South Dixon Township here in Lee
8 County.

9 If you are merely listening to us on
10 YouTube and have forgotten the Zoom meeting ID,
11 it is 951-3234-9154. The password is, as it has
12 been, 209840.

13 If you want to join us and just view and
14 listen to us on YouTube, on your computer or
15 cell phone use your browser, go to
16 www.youtube.com. In the search bar, type "Lee
17 County IL," I-L, short for Illinois, "Board of
18 Appeals." Don't worry about upper- or lowercase
19 letters. That should bring you to session
20 dates, and assuming you want to view tonight's
21 proceeding, click on October 14th, 2021, and we
22 should frighteningly appear on your screen.

23 Under the governor's current executive
24 order, all persons two years of age or older,

1 except those who have a disability as defined by
2 the American with Disabilities Act, are required
3 to wear a face mask covering their nose and
4 mouth when in an indoor public space such as
5 this, and to wear a face mask covering their
6 nose and mouth when -- excuse me, in an indoor
7 space such as this, regardless of whether they
8 are maintaining a social distance of more than
9 6 feet apart or not.

10 At this point, if you are in this
11 courtroom or if you're on -- in other spaces in
12 this building, I ask you to please turn your
13 cell phones off or at least to silent.

14 And as to role, I note the presence of
15 Chair of the ZBA, Mr. Forster; its Vice Chair,
16 Mr. Buhrow; Mr. Bothe is present; Mr. Hughes is
17 present.

18 The Honorable Dee Duffy, Zoning Officer,
19 is present. The Renewable Energy Coordinator,
20 Alice Henkel, is present. Representing the
21 Petitioner are Attorneys Barry and Kennedy.
22 There are -- oh, we have an IT specialist with
23 us, Jonathan. The court reporter, himself. And
24 by my count -- I'm going to do it again, 2, 3,

1 4, 7, 8, 11, 13 -- 15 Interested Parties,
2 whether they are representatives of the
3 Petitioner or not.

4 And there are no people in the rear jury
5 room; is that right, Ms. Duffy?

6 MS. DUFFY: Correct.

7 JUDGE SLAVIN: Okay. That having been
8 said, we will pick up where we left off, which
9 was the Petitioner was presenting evidence.

10 And you may, Mr. Barry.

11 MR. BARRY: Thank you, Judge Slavin.

12 I'd like to present as a witness first
13 tonight Mr. MaRous.

14 (Michael MaRous was duly sworn.)

15 JUDGE SLAVIN: Great. Thank you.

16 MICHAEL MaROUS,
17 having been duly sworn, was examined and
18 testified as follows:

19 DIRECT EXAMINATION

20 BY MR. BARRY:

21 Q. Good evening, Mr. MaRous.

22 A. Good evening, Counsel.

23 Q. Could you please state your name for the
24 record.

1 A. Michael S. MaRous, M-A-R-O-U-S.

2 Q. And I believe you have a PowerPoint
3 presentation this evening, correct?

4 A. I do.

5 MR. BARRY: If we could get that up on the
6 screen. There she is.

7 THE WITNESS: Great.

8 (Petitioner's Exhibit Number 3
9 marked for identification.)

10 Q. (By Mr. Barry:) Could you tell us a little bit
11 about your background, Mr. MaRous?

12 A. Sure. I am a real estate appraiser and
13 consultant and have been doing this for over
14 40 years. I have been awarded the MAI
15 designation, which is the highest form of real
16 estate appraisal valuation, and also invited to
17 membership for the Counselors of Real Estate. I
18 have general certified licensure, which is the
19 highest form of real estate valuation in
20 Illinois, and hold it in about six other states.

21 I have appraised probably over 15,000
22 properties, with over \$20 billion of value, in
23 my career. Clientele includes a mix of public
24 bodies, individuals, major corporations,

1 developers, financial institutions, et cetera.

2 My office is located at 1550 Northwest
3 Highway in Park Ridge, Illinois. The majority
4 of work that MaRous and Company does is in the
5 state of Illinois.

6 Next slide.

7 So in my career, I have been qualified
8 over a hundred times in circuit and federal
9 courts throughout the Midwest. I have testified
10 at well over a hundred zoning-type cases such as
11 this.

12 I have prepared several hundred value
13 impact studies, as I did for the proposed South
14 Dixon Solar. They include development of
15 industrial facilities, waste transfer
16 facilities, quarries, religious facilities,
17 mixed-use facilities and, as you can see on this
18 slide, solar project throughout the Midwest.

19 So next --

20 THE WITNESS: I think we're ahead of
21 ourselves a little bit, Jonathan. A couple
22 back.

23 MR. BARRY: Yeah, go back to Slide 3,
24 please. Thanks.

1 A. So I have done studies for numerous solar
2 proposed facilities, generally over a hundred
3 megawatts in the states of Illinois, Indiana,
4 Wisconsin, Iowa, Maryland.

5 And if we go to the next page -- it's not
6 the next page. I have also worked at probably
7 25 different wind projects in about ten
8 different states, generally over a
9 hundred-megawatts of capacity there.

10 So in this -- I am just going to give my
11 conclusion and then kind of explain what I did.

12 Q. (By Mr. Barry:) Before you do that,
13 Mr. MaRous, can I ask you if you performed a
14 property value impact study in connection with
15 South Dixon Solar?

16 A. I have.

17 Q. And is that study Appendix W to the permit
18 application materials?

19 A. It is.

20 Q. And I think you were about to get there, but
21 could you tell us the conclusions you drew from
22 your study, please?

23 A. Sure. As a result of my experience in market
24 impact analysis done for specifically the

1 proposed South Dixon Solar, it is my opinion
2 that there is no market data indicating the
3 solar farm will have a negative impact on either
4 rural, residential or agricultural property
5 values in the surrounding area.

6 Finally, for agricultural properties that
7 host the panels, the additional income from the
8 solar lease may and probably will significantly
9 increase the value and marketability of those
10 properties.

11 Q. Could you go to Slide 4, please. I think that
12 shows your conclusion, correct?

13 A. Right. So my report is plus or minus a hundred
14 pages long, so what I'm going to do here with
15 this PowerPoint is just kind of summarize.

16 But first of all, if we look at the
17 points, and I'm not going to read them verbatim,
18 but there's significant financial benefits to
19 the local economy and the taxing districts.

20 MR. BARRY: Next slide, please. Sorry.
21 There we go.

22 A. An analysis of residential properties proximate
23 to existing solar farms did not support any
24 finding that proximity to panels had a negative

1 impact on property values.

2 Also, analysis of agricultural land values
3 in Illinois and other states did not find any
4 negative impact by the development of these
5 panels. The -- and this is found by looking at
6 leases throughout the Midwest.

7 A very important aspect of this report is,
8 I contacted all the assessors with major solar
9 in the state of Illinois and also Indiana,
10 Wisconsin, Iowa, part of North Carolina, and
11 Maryland to basically determine, number one, did
12 they have tax appeals filed; and has there been
13 granted relief based on proximity to solar
14 panels; and were they valuing property
15 different?

16 I'll go into this in a little more detail
17 later. But based on this research and analysis,
18 there have been no successful tax appeals based
19 on the negative impact of solar.

20 And then the last, that the proposed use
21 will not be detrimental to public health, the
22 safety, comfort or general welfare of the
23 residents, nor will the project substantially
24 diminish or impair the value of other properties

1 or improvements in vicinity of the solar farm.

2 MR. BARRY: Next slide, please.

3 A. So basically when -- as an appraiser, analysis,
4 what we're doing is looking at what impacts real
5 estate, including positive economic benefits and
6 also negative.

7 And up here on the slide, and some of you
8 are, you know, a decent distance away, but you
9 can see where you have, you know, a grain mill-
10 type use, you can have grain storage-type use,
11 you can have various agricultural-type uses.
12 And we're kind of looking for appearance;
13 environmental and sustainability; noise and
14 odor, you know, the hog farms is probably the
15 easiest description on the odor issue; traffic,
16 truck -- heavy truck traffic, heavy additional
17 increase in traffic is something to consider;
18 hazardous materials, I guess we could talk about
19 a nuclear power plant as an example and also a
20 waste transfer station.

21 Then what's the impact on the public
22 services? Is it a heavy use on the roads and
23 the infrastructure on the bridges, or does it
24 improve them? And then what does it do for the

1 schools? Does it allow additional economic
2 benefits to the schools to upgrade the physical
3 plant and obviously the quality of the teachers
4 and the materials that the kids have to use?

5 And then public safety, where you get into
6 a situation, I guess we could say a prison or a
7 very active nightclub, where there might be some
8 concern for public safety.

9 So these are all issues that I look at
10 every time I look at what is a proposed project.

11 Q. (By Mr. Barry:) And so those are the factors
12 you considered, but can you next tell us about
13 the scope of work that your study performed?

14 A. Sure. What I did is, I reviewed the Lee County
15 public documents, including the Zoning
16 Ordinance. I reviewed the project's supportive
17 documents. So there's various engineering
18 plans, there's environmental plans, and, you
19 know, there's soil-type plans and an economic
20 analysis of this, and I reviewed that.

21 I toured the site, the footprint, on two
22 different occasions to kind of get a feel for
23 the area, to look at properties that had sold,
24 to look at the trend of development as to what

1 is going on in the area.

2 And then I looked at the demographics,
3 which is really income levels, population
4 levels, population density in the area of the
5 proposed solar farm.

6 And then comparables were researched, both
7 sales of farms but also what I call farmettes,
8 small rural residential properties, to look at
9 that trend of development and look at those
10 sales, price-per-square-foot amenities and
11 overall prices and also absorption times.

12 And so data on this information was
13 utilized throughout the report.

14 Interviews of local real estate
15 professionals that have experience in solar. So
16 to go into an area where there's a proposed
17 development, it really isn't fair to interview a
18 local broker because if that's stated who I
19 talked to, and it's public knowledge, it could
20 hurt their business for people that may have a
21 negative aspect. And if they haven't had any
22 experience with solar, they're not necessarily
23 providing any legitimate response.

24 So what is done is, we talk to brokers

1 that have experience with these type of uses
2 where there's existing solar farms.

3 And then the properties that were used for
4 matched pairs that I'm going to go into later,
5 information was provided and those were
6 inspected.

7 So there's several key points in our
8 analysis. Obviously looking at the economics,
9 which you have heard, the tax revenue, somewhere
10 between a million to a million, three per year,
11 which is a significant increase with no real
12 strain on services, and we look at that
13 certainty of the lease income to the property
14 owners and also the taxing bodies.

15 We look at matched pairs, which goes to
16 looking at sales of similar properties that are
17 close to a solar farm and look at similar
18 properties in the area, having similar
19 characteristics, to see if those sales proximate
20 to the panels are negatively impacted.

21 Q. And when you perform your matched pair
22 analysis, do you look at actual sales data then?

23 A. Yes. And as an appraiser, anytime you use a
24 sale, you want to make sure it's arm's length.

1 So if there's a sale -- a family sale, a
2 liquidation sale, something that may not be
3 arm's length, that's not a sale that fits the
4 definition of market value and is considered --
5 we're trying to find arm's length sales in order
6 to make our comparable test.

7 And this, as has been brought out, because
8 there has not been major solar that has had
9 history in Illinois, I went to other areas,
10 Wisconsin, Minnesota, which we'll talk about a
11 little later, Indiana, and also Arizona, where
12 there's some of these larger, a hundred- to
13 300-megawatt, facilities to look at the impact
14 they had and looking at areas where the property
15 values have some comparability to the South
16 Dixon footprint.

17 Q. And I know your report, which I think you said
18 is over a hundred pages long, contains several
19 different examples of matched pair analyses, but
20 you have got a few to take us through here
21 tonight in your PowerPoint, correct?

22 A. As my wife would say, I might think it's
23 exciting but she doesn't, so we're not going to
24 go through all of them tonight. We'll go

1 through the highlights, and I'll be able to
2 answer any additional questions.

3 I think there's probably 30 data points of
4 sales, including matched pair and sale/resales,
5 throughout the report that form part of the
6 basis of my conclusion.

7 MR. BARRY: Next slide, please.

8 A. So unfortunately, just because of the size and
9 the volume of the room, it's tough to read, but
10 this is a sale in LaSalle County, Illinois, and
11 it's basically -- there's three pages that I
12 have here. The first is the summary, which
13 we'll stay on, the second page will show a
14 picture, and the third page will show a map.

15 And the picture on the top of this --

16 THE WITNESS: Oh, please stay --

17 A. -- here you can see the solar panels and you
18 can see the house up here. So the house
19 proximate is in Streator. It's 485 feet away.
20 It sold for \$186,000 and was built in 1997 on
21 two acres.

22 The comparable that's not proximate sold
23 for \$151,000, also in Streator. Built in 1994,
24 1770 feet, on three-quarters of an acre.

1 So what we show here, they're both one-
2 story framed houses, both three bedrooms. The
3 one proximate to the panels has a full and
4 finished basement; the one not has a crawl
5 space. They both have central air. They both
6 had forced air heat. Both had well and septic.
7 The one proximate to the solar had a three-car
8 garage; the one not had a two-car garage.

9 So basically go through the factors and
10 ascertain, comping them out, to see when we make
11 adjustments for size, lot size, age of the
12 property, amenities of the property. And based
13 on this example in Streator, there was no
14 indication that there was a negative impact on
15 value.

16 So we can go to the next page briefly, and
17 this just shows kind of aerial pictures. Again,
18 they're more illustrated in my report.

19 And then the next page is just showing the
20 location of these properties.

21 So throughout my report, I go through each
22 comp or comparable matched pair in a similar
23 situation, providing the key data points, and
24 then at the end I provide basically, as an

1 appraiser we call it adjustment grid, just as we
2 would do if we were appraising somebody in this
3 room's house.

4 So the next one -- so we're going to jump
5 to probably the largest solar facility that has
6 had some experience in the Midwest, and this is
7 called North Star Solar in North Branch,
8 Minnesota. So this is a hundred-megawatt solar
9 facility that was developed on about a thousand
10 acres.

11 THE WITNESS: Jonathan, I'm going to ask
12 you to go two more slides and then I'll back up.

13 A. So this is fascinating. The comp is right
14 here. And I call this a donut hole, because
15 solar surrounds this property on four sides.

16 So I went up there, I looked at it, I
17 talked to the assessor. There's actually data,
18 because it was developed in 2017. Prices have
19 gone up consistently and the assessor finds no
20 negative impact. He's not valuing the
21 properties any differently.

22 But what happened, because they were
23 surrounding this whole little subdivision of,
24 like, six or seven houses, the developer bought

1 the houses and then they put their construction
2 workers in there to house them. And then when
3 the solar farm was up and operating, they put
4 them back on the market, and one of the original
5 purchasers came back and repurchased the house.

6 So I have examples in my report of resales
7 of these properties. So if you go back -- I
8 mean, it -- one more. So this is a picture. So
9 the -- this is the sale here, which you saw on a
10 bigger scale, is right surrounded by the panels.
11 It originally sold in 2001, long before the
12 solar development, for \$226,800. And it was
13 built at that point. It's 2150 square feet on
14 ten acres. Two-story frame, vinyl, four
15 bedroom, two and a half bath, central air,
16 three-car garage.

17 So then it sells -- so this is the first
18 sale. Then it sells in the fall of 2017. The
19 house is pretty much the same. It sells for
20 \$333,000. So 226,8, from 2001, when it
21 originally sold, the market was good until 2006,
22 and then about 2008 we went through the real
23 estate recession. And this area, by the way, is
24 about 25 miles north of St. Paul. It's kind of

1 a northern suburb of St. Paul, Minnesota, the
2 Twin Cities.

3 So in 2008 to 2012 there's a dramatic drop
4 in the general real estate market for properties
5 in the subject area and in this area, but then
6 it starts coming back in 2012.

7 In 2017, this property sells again for
8 \$333,000. So it goes from 226- to 333,000.
9 This is after the solar farm has been under
10 construction and open.

11 Then recently, the end of last year, right
12 around Christmas, it sold for \$415,000, almost
13 another 30 percent increase. And, again, this
14 is during the period of three to four years when
15 the solar farm has been existent, has been --
16 surrounds the property on four sides, there's
17 views on four sides.

18 THE WITNESS: Jonathan, if you could go
19 two slides up again -- okay, you know what, go
20 to the middle of the picture, just so everybody
21 sees the picture.

22 A. So it's an attractive house. It's not
23 overwhelming, but, you know, it's an attractive
24 house. It's on a large lot. The farmland, ag

1 land values up there are not as high as they are
2 in Lee County, but, you know, it's still good
3 farmland.

4 Okay. So the next slide, again, we're
5 showing the surrounding. Because there's the
6 big question, Well, what happens if I have solar
7 panels on two sides, if I have it on three sides
8 or if I have it on four? This is on four, but
9 visually if you're standing in the backyard of
10 this house and you're looking -- this would be
11 to the east -- you're only going to see solar
12 panels probably the first couple hundred feet.
13 The panels back here you're not going to see.
14 You're not going to notice. Same issue if you
15 look to the south, you're only going to see the
16 front several layers, and also to the north.

17 So if this was doubled, tripled,
18 quadrupled, the visual from this house would
19 really be the same. So that's why this picture,
20 I think, is a great example.

21 So the next example -- and this is in
22 Arizona. It's about 25 miles west of Phoenix,
23 basically the dessert area, but it's a suburb, a
24 far suburb of Phoenix. And here we have a panel

1 array and here we have a house. And this is a
2 manufactured house.

3 Maybe we'll go one more picture, just to
4 show this. So this is the one proximate to the
5 panels. This is a similar house that's not
6 proximate to a solar farm.

7 THE WITNESS: So, Jonathan, if you could
8 go back again.

9 A. If you look here, the one proximate to a house
10 is -- it's kind of dark in here. I think it's
11 about 900 feet away, but there's nothing -- they
12 don't have trees, they don't have any terrain,
13 they don't have bushes. It's desert all
14 yearlong and basically full visibility.

15 And this house by the solar panels sold
16 for \$300,000, and it -- in 1996, just under
17 2,000 feet, on 20 acres. Now, the land out
18 there is a lot less valuable than it is here per
19 acre, but it's a 20-acre site. So the land
20 itself, probably 5,000 an acre, is a hundred
21 thousand.

22 The comparable that I used as a matched
23 pair is -- I guess we'd go to the next page,
24 just to show the picture -- a similar one-story

1 house, similar vintage, sells for actually less,
2 \$278,000, in a similar market period. A little
3 bit newer, slightly smaller, on five and a half
4 acres or five and a quarter acres.

5 Again, when making adjustments for the
6 locational issues, the conditional issues, the
7 size issues, there's no indication of a negative
8 impact.

9 And I think people might say, this isn't
10 the Midwest, but it's similar demographics,
11 similar price points, and it's similarly
12 affected by the views of solar arrays, and it's
13 also across -- and that's a 155-megawatt
14 facility, which is somewhere in the 1500- to
15 2,000-acre range.

16 THE WITNESS: So then I'm going to start
17 moving a little quicker, Jonathan. So if you'd
18 go up a couple more slides. So this -- and I --
19 no, go back.

20 A. So basically what we did was research sales in
21 the proposed footprint or touching in or around
22 the footprint, and I provided information on six
23 sales here, anywhere from 110,000 to 206,000,
24 that basically sold '18 through the end of '20,

1 generally on one-and-a-half- to three-acre
2 sites.

3 As typical of your community, the ages
4 range, built from 1901 to those built up in the
5 2000s. These sizes were -- generally they
6 averaged about 16-, 1700 feet, some were
7 smaller, some were bigger, and the price points
8 were generally in the, you know, 80- to \$95-per-
9 square-foot range.

10 So these were the most recent sales in and
11 around the footprint that I found. I'm sure
12 there's others, but these are indicative of the
13 market.

14 So if we kind of quickly go through --

15 THE WITNESS: Jonathan, the next slide.

16 A. And, again, the report just shows the pictures.

17 You say, Why don't you take a face-on
18 picture here? Well, some of these houses out in
19 the ag country have view shields, we call them,
20 which basically are trees and bushes that are
21 planted around them. Some are set back. So I
22 can't go drive up somebody's driveway, knock on
23 their door and take a picture of their house,
24 but I'm taking the picture from the street.

1 When I don't have a good picture, we'll use
2 aerial photography.

3 So, again, the next page -- next two pages
4 show kind of diversity of the housing stock in
5 the south Dixon market.

6 THE WITNESS: Jonathan, maybe one more.

7 A. And then the last page of this group, this just
8 shows the footprint. And it kind of shows --
9 these are right in the footprint. These are
10 just outside of it. This one is a little
11 further southeast.

12 So, again, more detail in my report.

13 The next, which I'm not really going to
14 spend much time on, is just a summary of ag
15 sales or, you know, sales in the community.

16 And, again, great, great farmland.
17 Depending on the hydrology and the quality of
18 the soil, are you on gravel; do you have
19 buildings, you know, the price points vary. But
20 in my report I talk about ag land value.

21 The next page, Jonathan, just shows the
22 pictures.

23 So the next page goes into this Illinois
24 assessor's survey analysis. And I started doing

1 this about five years ago for wind because I was
2 trying to find out those people in the trenches,
3 how they were valuing land approximate or in
4 view of turbines. So I brought this forth with
5 solar because it's the same issue that, number
6 one, talks -- you know, and so we -- through
7 public records and other sources, we can find
8 out who has major solar.

9 So we called the assessors and the
10 counties to see -- talk about their existing
11 solar farm, their experience with it. In
12 Illinois, we don't have a lot of big solar
13 farms, so that's why it's important to also go
14 into some of these other states. And to say,
15 are you looking at values approximate to solar
16 farms to see if there's been any change
17 difference, upward or downward, in value. And
18 the consistent answer is, we don't see any
19 differential.

20 We have had Board members on the County
21 Board ask us to look into it. We have had
22 citizens ask us to look into it. So we're
23 watching the sales. We don't find any
24 indication of difference in values, and we're

1 not assessing these properties any differently.

2 And then the question is, have you had any
3 appeals? And the answer is no. There's been
4 people that have talked about it. If they make
5 a formal appeal, then the assessor does his
6 study, and then it will go to a local Board,
7 Board of Review, PTAB, Property Tax Appeals
8 Board. None of that has happened. None of that
9 has been granted.

10 So as I have been doing this over the last
11 few years, that same study -- and I just
12 finished one in Iowa which has, you know, a
13 reasonable amount of solar, Wisconsin that's
14 getting a lot of solar, Indiana that's getting a
15 lot of solar; same answers.

16 So I ventured into North Carolina, which
17 is probably ahead of the curve as far as time,
18 and I think contacted 13 assessors with the
19 biggest counties that had the most solar. Same
20 issues. You know, they get -- seem to have a
21 consistency where there's concern during the
22 entitlement process, during the construction
23 process. And then once it's up and running, it
24 becomes just part of the fabric of the ag

In Totidem Verbis, LLC (ITV)
815.453.2260

In Totidem Verbis, LLC (ITV)

1 community and they're not getting any appeals.

2 Q. And, Mr. MaRous, would you have expected to see
3 reduced assessments if there was a decrease in
4 property values for homes that were approximate
5 to solar projects from the assessors?

6 A. That's kind of a lay-up question, because I
7 have done probably over a thousand tax appeal
8 appraisals for both public and private bodies.
9 But clearly if people feel that there's a reason
10 that their values have been negatively impacted
11 and it can be used to reduce the real estate tax
12 burden, they are going to make an appeal.

13 On a residential appeal, that can be done
14 with a little research, and it can be done by an
15 individual getting comparable data and then
16 going in and meeting with the assessor and
17 providing the factors. Obviously in a major
18 industrial complaint and that, you may have to
19 get an attorney and get an appraiser. But yes,
20 if people think their taxes are too high,
21 uniformly, whether it's Lee County or any other
22 county in the state, which I have probably done
23 tax appeals in 30 counties, people are very
24 sensitive to this. So it's a good -- you know,

1 a good barometer of the reality of value
2 impacts.

3 Q. (By Mr. Barry:) And, again, the assessors you
4 spoke to didn't see any property tax appeals for
5 residences that were next to or near solar
6 projects?

7 A. No. And, you know, to be fair, they had people
8 that, you know, were not positive about solar
9 and had personal opinions, just like people
10 don't like different colors of cars, colors of
11 houses, you know, having a silo or barn built
12 next to them. But when they looked at it, they
13 found no indication it had a negative impact on
14 value. In fact, what did it for the local
15 economy, for the economics, it was a benefit.

16 Q. I think you also looked at some literature for
17 purposes of your study as well, correct?

18 A. Yes.

19 THE WITNESS: Next page, please.

20 A. So what's also interesting here is, as an
21 appraiser, you have to be aware of what's going
22 on in the market and look at future trends and
23 look at published data. Having done this for
24 wind for quite a while, there's quite a few what

1 we call peer-reviewed studies, which means you
2 have to do a study that's analyzed, that's
3 vetted by other professionals before it gets
4 published. That's called peer review.
5 Something that's on a blog on the internet is
6 not peer-reviewed; that's just personal opinion.

7 So there's been a lot of wind studies, but
8 there's only been really two solar studies. The
9 first one is a couple years old now, and the
10 first one that came up is University of Texas,
11 up here. And what they basically did is, they
12 went out and sent information and followed up
13 with assessors and people in counties throughout
14 the U.S. to see if, in their opinion, there was
15 a negative impact based on solar. And then,
16 number two, did they have experience with it and
17 did they have any quantifiable conclusions.

18 What happened is a lot of the assessors
19 that did not have experience in solar in their
20 county had a negative opinion. But then when
21 they got to assessors that had experience with
22 solar, they found that they had no quantifiable
23 analysis empirical support that justified a
24 decrease in value.

1 And this study concluded with an opinion
2 that there was nothing substantiating a negative
3 impact on value. They had concerns with
4 setbacks. And, you know, I think that's an
5 important factor, where initially the solar
6 projects could have 50-foot setbacks from
7 houses, and the new norm, in my experience,
8 throughout the Midwest is 200 feet, and with
9 aesthetic landscaping, if necessary, and the
10 proposed project of 400 feet is actually almost
11 double what's the new norm.

12 So the concern was with setbacks. They
13 did not -- they were doing studies generally of
14 existing solar, and many of them were not, you
15 know, the size of a hundred-plus-megawatt
16 facilities. But the overall conclusion, no
17 negative impact.

18 Then the next study that comes out the
19 next year -- we need to go back one -- is the
20 Rhode Island study, and it's Rhode Island and
21 Massachusetts. So this was done by professors
22 that looked at empirical data and basically more
23 suburban-type development. Average price
24 points, generally 300- to \$350,000 residences.

1 Many in the million-dollar-plus range. And they
2 found that in these higher-density areas larger
3 solar had a negative impact, but what they also
4 found is, in areas with under 850 persons per
5 square mile there was no negative impact.

6 The density in the subject project I think
7 is close to 50. It's definitely less than a
8 hundred people per square mile. So this study,
9 again, for the subject proposed development
10 shows no negative impact on the value.

11 The next study just goes to some other
12 extremes, because there's concern about size of
13 major projects. So a project that I worked on,
14 called Badger Hollow in western Wisconsin, 3500
15 acres, 300 megawatts. There was a lot of
16 community concern and there was a lot of
17 negativity. And I prepared a report, I
18 testified before the Wisconsin Public Utilities
19 Commission, and there was negative testimony and
20 there were objectors, and this project was
21 approved. And I have a copy, but this just kind
22 of summarizes, that they found no negative
23 impact to property values.

24 Along with this, I have got three other

1 cases that I was involved with, all 2- --
2 generally average over 200-acre solar farms.
3 The first one -- and what's very interesting is
4 these are very recent. The first on the top is
5 the Paris Solar Farm, which is just west of
6 Kenosha. If anybody has been up and sees the
7 new Amazon facility, it's about two miles
8 immediately west of there. That was approved in
9 the summer of 2020. I did a report, testified
10 again before the Public Utilities Commission.

11 The next page was Grant County, and this
12 one was in, also, western Wisconsin. This was a
13 little different because it had rolling terrain,
14 which means solar panels could be visible from a
15 distance. And there were some objectors, but,
16 again, a report was done, and I think the
17 setbacks are actually less in this project. The
18 PUC went through, and they have got a judge that
19 reviews and rules, and there they found there
20 was no negative impact.

21 And the last one was Darien Solar Energy,
22 which just came out in August. I did a report
23 there. This is just north of Delavan, just
24 northwest of Lake Geneva. So there was a sale

1 of a property in the footprint of over a million
2 dollars as this project was going on. You know,
3 it has some areas of high value. Farmland was
4 not as good quality as the subject. But, again,
5 it went through the same PUC process and was
6 approved. And the ruling, again, it's a hot --
7 you know, to have a ruling that's two months old
8 is very -- very fresh.

9 So that concludes my PowerPoint.

10 Q. (By Mr. Barry:) Okay. I have a couple
11 follow-up questions.

12 MR. BARRY: Jonathan, could you go back to
13 Slide 2? I'm going to come back to that in a
14 second.

15 Q. (By Mr. Barry:) Just to summarize, those were
16 four decisions by the Public Utilities
17 Commission of the State of Wisconsin, correct?

18 A. Yes.

19 Q. And I think you mentioned the Badger Hollow
20 project. That project has been operational now
21 for a certain period?

22 A. For about six months. And I have been tracking
23 sales because it's a large project, values are
24 somewhat similar to South Dixon, as far as

1 improved properties, and there's been no
2 indication, based on sales and market
3 activities, that there's been a negative impact.
4 If anything, it's been positive. But, you know,
5 part of that, it's a strong market.

6 Q. And the Wisconsin Commission approved a permit
7 for all four of those solar projects, correct?

8 A. Yes, they did.

9 Q. And in each case that you just discussed, the
10 Commission found no negative impact on property
11 values from the projects, correct?

12 A. Correct.

13 Q. You mentioned setbacks a couple times. Are you
14 familiar with the setback requirements in the
15 new Ordinance? I'm calling it the new Ordinance
16 because it's the most recent Ordinance adopted
17 by Lee County.

18 A. I am.

19 Q. And what are those generally, number of feet,
20 please?

21 A. The most significant is the setback from
22 improved residences, which is 400 feet.

23 Q. And it's your -- are you aware that this
24 project will apply with those setback rules?

1 A. Yes.

2 Q. And, I mean, I -- is it a good thing, having a
3 larger setback, from your perspective?

4 A. I mean, with anything, Counsel, there's a
5 balance. I think 200 is fair. 400 just
6 provides additional separation, but I don't --
7 in my opinion, based on what I have seen, I
8 don't think it necessarily has to be that far,
9 but it provides an additional balance and
10 separation.

11 Q. Okay. Thank you.

12 I have one more question, and it relates
13 to the letters on Slide 2. There's a reference
14 to MAI and CRE. You mentioned those earlier,
15 but can you explain to us what those
16 certifications mean?

17 A. Well, the MAI is Member Appraisal Institute.
18 So there's, like, 6,000 of us in the world and
19 maybe 200 in the state of Illinois out of, what,
20 12 million people.

21 So it involves a series of coursework,
22 demonstration reports, passing tests, a
23 comprehensive exam. It's -- I'm not -- there's
24 more attorneys in here than there are

1 appraisers, but I'm going to say it's like a CPA
2 or a law degree. But, you know, the Sears
3 Tower, former, Willis Tower in Chicago, probably
4 has 2,000 lawyers in that building alone. So
5 it's hard to get.

6 And the CRE, Counselors of Real Estate,
7 worldwide there's a thousand of us. It's
8 basically the highest form of counseling,
9 consulting. A lot are appraisers. I was on the
10 board -- national board for nine years and I was
11 the Midwest Chair for two.

12 MR. BARRY: Thank you.

13 Judge Slavin, that's all the questions I
14 have for this witness, but before I move on,
15 could I ask to enter Mr. MaRous's PowerPoint
16 into evidence? I believe it's Petitioner's
17 Exhibit 3.

18 JUDGE SLAVIN: 3, I marked it 3, and I'll
19 reserve ruling until we do cross.

20 MR. BARRY: Thank you.

21 JUDGE SLAVIN: Mrs. Duffy, do you have any
22 questions of this witness?

23 MS. DUFFY: No, thank you, Judge.

24 JUDGE SLAVIN: How about you, Ms. Henkel?

1 MS. HENKEL: None, thank you.

2 JUDGE SLAVIN: Members of the ZBA.

3 Mr. Forster?

4 MR. FORSTER: No questions.

5 JUDGE SLAVIN: Mr. Buhrow?

6 MR. BUHROW: Yes, one or two.

7 JUDGE SLAVIN: Mr. Buhrow, can you swallow
8 the mic for us? I know, I know.

9 MR. BUHROW: Couple of questions.

10 EXAMINATION

11 BY MR. BUHROW:

12 Q. Have you dealt with any counties that have
13 roughly -- well, in our case, four solar farms,
14 roughly one-third of the total area of the
15 county would be solar farms? Have you dealt
16 with anything that dense?

17 A. Yes.

18 Q. Okay. Where at? Which state?

19 A. Pulaski County, Indiana, which has close to a
20 thousand megawatts of solar on a thousand -- or
21 10,000, 12,000 acres. I don't know the exact
22 amount, but, you know, it's 900 megawatts -- 900
23 to 950 megawatts.

24 Q. Roughly what part of the state is that? Near

1 what cities in Indiana?

2 A. It's northeast, maybe 50 to 70 miles, maybe 80
3 miles of Indianapolis. It's probably 50 miles
4 east of Indianap- -- or of Lafayette. It's
5 maybe 60, 70 miles south of South Bend. You
6 know, it's -- there's smaller cities, you know,
7 towns of 500 to 5,000, around it, but that's
8 kind of the area of it.

9 And it's in an area of ag. And it's also
10 an interesting area, it's not too far south of
11 Elkhart, which is known for -- its a major
12 manufacturer of trailers and motor homes.

13 Q. Thank you.

14 In our situation here locally --

15 A. Can I -- just to clear the record. Out on the
16 west coast, which I don't -- I think in Vegas, I
17 mean, in Nevada and California there are some,
18 but I'm not including those because that's a
19 whole different situation.

20 Q. Yeah, I understand.

21 Have you dealt with anybody that has done
22 the landscaping that we have talked about on
23 this project around residences?

24 A. As far as the developers, yes. It's kind of a

1 new hot topic. Invenergy has had to do some.
2 They did some in Badger Hollow. They have a
3 proposed one that's in Anderson, Indiana, that
4 they are going to have to do it. This Pulaski
5 County, they're going to have to do it. I think
6 that also is becoming the new norm on a
7 case-by-case basis.

8 MR. BUHROW: Okay. That's all. Thank
9 you.

10 JUDGE SLAVIN: Thank you.

11 Mr. Bothe?

12 MR. BOTHE: No questions.

13 JUDGE SLAVIN: Mr. Hughes?

14 MR. HUGHES: No questions.

15 JUDGE SLAVIN: All right. Let's see, last
16 time I -- last time Zoomers were second. So
17 folks on Zoom --

18 And how many have we got, Jonathan? Let
19 me count real quick.

20 MR. HENRIKSON: 14, 15.

21 JUDGE SLAVIN: Okay. You folks on Zoom,
22 if you have a question of Mr. MaRous, I would
23 like you -- if you're videoconferencing, click
24 on the tab down at the bottom about in the

1 middle on your screen that should say
2 "Participant." If you click on that, there
3 should be a list, and on that list is another
4 sort of tab, link, that says "Raise Hand." So
5 if you have a question, please do that.

6 If you're teleconferencing, stay with us
7 on Zoom but go to your keypad on your smartphone
8 and type in star or asterisk and then the number
9 nine, and that will perform the same function.
10 It will raise your hand so we can see it on the
11 screen.

12 All right. I'm looking to see if there
13 are any people. Tell me if I see any. I'm sort
14 of craning my old neck.

15 MR. HENRIKSON: I don't see any.

16 JUDGE SLAVIN: All right. I don't see
17 any.

18 Okay. Folks in the courtroom, by raise of
19 hand. I saw Jamie Lawson's hand first.

20 You sit right in the line of sight.

21 MR. LAWSON: Her?

22 JUDGE SLAVIN: No, you.

23 MR. LAWSON: Oh, okay. Jamie Lawson,
24 adjacent landowner.

EXAMINATION

BY MR. LAWSON:

Q. Mr. MaRous, are you being paid by Duke Energy Renewables to be here?

A. This is my job, this is my profession; I charge a professional fee.

Q. So you are getting paid?

A. That's correct.

Q. Are you aware that -- are you aware that you were investigated by the Lee County Sheriff's Department for comments that you made during our last presentation for South Dixon Solar, LLC, and Duke Energy Renewables?

A. I have heard nothing. I have had no contact. I don't know what you're talking about.

Q. What percentage of your income is from solar and wind projects?

A. Over the last five years, I would estimate plus or minus 20 percent.

Q. Have you ever provided a property value impact analysis for any Lee County wind projects?

A. I don't believe so. It's possible, but I don't recall doing any.

Q. How many property value impact analyses have

1 you done for solar and wind?

2 A. Total, I would estimate, over a dozen states,
3 probably 40-ish.

4 Q. Thank you.

5 Out of all the property value impact
6 analyses you have done for wind and solar, has
7 any ever showed that the project would reduce
8 property values?

9 A. No.

10 Q. How many properties in your studies have been
11 sold after a project this size was built around
12 it?

13 A. How many projects or properties?

14 Q. Properties. Sorry.

15 A. With 40 projects, things change daily. I would
16 not have that count --

17 Q. Okay.

18 A. -- and do not follow it.

19 Q. Other than solar and wind projects, have you
20 ever completed any other property value impact
21 analysis that has shown a reduction in property
22 values?

23 A. Yes.

24 Q. What caused the reductions?

1 A. Traffic, noise, smell, height, strain on
2 services, public safety. I would say -- I don't
3 know if I said noise; that too.

4 Q. Okay. Thank you.

5 So you have had -- so you have had
6 property value analyses that show a reduction in
7 property value, just not for wind or solar?

8 A. That's correct.

9 Q. Why is that?

10 A. Because all the projects that I have studied
11 have been properly done, properly sited, and
12 done so they are a positive impact to the
13 community.

14 Q. If your property value impact analysis showed
15 that it would reduce the surrounding property
16 values, would you be here right now?

17 A. Would I be here right now? That's my job, to
18 give my report. Yes, I would.

19 Q. So if you're -- in your opinion, if your
20 studies show a negative impact on property
21 values, Duke Renewables would continue your fee
22 and have you here for a witness?

23 A. That's their decision, not mine.

24 Q. Thank you.

1 Will the names of the multiple assessors
2 in multiple states that you talked to be in your
3 report, in your hundred-page report?

4 A. I believe so. I'm looking right now, just
5 to --

6 Q. And last question --

7 A. Well, can I --

8 JUDGE SLAVIN: Let him -- if you ask him a
9 question, you have to give him a chance to
10 answer.

11 MR. LAWSON: I didn't know he had the
12 hundred-page report with him. I thought he had
13 a synopsis.

14 JUDGE SLAVIN: He says he's looking right
15 now.

16 A. In my report, I just have the list, names and
17 phone numbers and project and capacities for
18 Illinois, but I actually have that information
19 for the other states, too, but I didn't put it
20 in there. But I do have it in my addendum for
21 Illinois, yes.

22 Q. (By Mr. Lawson:) So they're not in your
23 hundred-page report, the multiple people that --
24 assessors you talked to for this project are not

1 in your report?

2 A. For the state of Illinois, they are in there,
3 yes.

4 Q. No, the multiple -- you had mentioned multiple
5 states. I'm asking, the names for the multiple
6 states that you talked to are in your report?

7 A. For states outside of Illinois, no.

8 Q. Thank you.

9 A. But they are available.

10 Q. Thank you.

11 Do you live next to a solar farm?

12 A. No.

13 Q. Thank you.

14 Are you aware that if the project is
15 built, Duke Energy Renewables will pay some
16 elected Lee County Board members and their
17 families millions of dollars and at least one
18 will become a multimillionaire?

19 A. Could you repeat that? I didn't hear the
20 beginning of the question.

21 Q. Are you aware that if the project is built,
22 Duke Energy Renewables will pay some elected Lee
23 County Board members millions -- and their
24 families millions of dollars and at least one

1 will become a multimillionaire?

2 A. I have to answer it no, because I don't know
3 who's on the board and I don't know the names of
4 the people getting a lease. So that really
5 isn't a question I could answer.

6 Q. Thank you.

7 Are you aware that Duke Energy Renewables
8 hired the Lee County Board Chairman's daughter,
9 Courtney Kennedy, to represent them?

10 A. I'm aware that Ms. Kennedy was hired as Counsel
11 to represent them. Her family's relationships
12 are none of my business.

13 Q. Are you aware that Lee County Renewables
14 Coordinator, Alice Henkel's father, Chris
15 Henkel, is a hired employee by Duke Energy
16 Renewables?

17 A. Again, I'm not aware of the relationships, and
18 that's not something that is part of my study.

19 Q. Are you aware that Duke Energy Renewables is
20 offering surrounding landowners \$2,000 a year
21 not to oppose the project through the good
22 neighbor program?

23 A. I'm aware that there's a good neighbor program.
24 The exact specifics, I'm not -- I do not know

1 the exact numbers.

2 Q. Thank you.

3 Are you aware that the Lee County
4 generating facility used to be owned by Duke
5 Energy?

6 A. Duke Energy --

7 MR. BARRY: He first would have to
8 establish if he's aware of something called a
9 Lee County generating facility.

10 JUDGE SLAVIN: Well, he can answer the
11 question. If you can.

12 A. I'm aware that Duke Energy has ownership of a
13 lot of energy-type products. What they have
14 owned in this county, I do not know.

15 Q. (By Mr. Lawson:) Are you aware that Tyler Coon
16 used to be employed by Duke Energy?

17 A. Yes.

18 Q. Are you aware that Jeff Neves, Duke Energy's
19 business development director, was not willing
20 to sign an agreement that Duke Energy Renewables
21 would not sell or walk away from this project
22 before the 40-year lifespan is up, like they did
23 with Lee County generating station and Tyler
24 Coon?

1 A. I have no idea.

2 Q. Do these actions sound like actions of a good
3 neighbor or does it sound more like a Fortune
4 150 company stacking the deck and trying to take
5 advantage of a county and its --

6 JUDGE SLAVIN: That's argumentative.
7 Don't answer that question.

8 MR. LAWSON: That's all. Thank you.

9 JUDGE SLAVIN: Other folks in the room, by
10 raise of your hand.

11 Ms. Johannsen.

12 MS. JOHANNSEN: Martha Johannsen.

13 EXAMINATION

14 BY MS. JOHANNSEN:

15 Q. On Page 9 of your presentation, what was the
16 megawatt of that solar system?

17 A. LaSalle County?

18 Q. Yes.

19 A. It's approximately 20 megawatts.

20 Q. Over how many acres?

21 A. I could go through my report, but I believe
22 it's in the 150- to 175-acre range.

23 Q. What does agricultural land sell for in Lee
24 County?

1 A. Depends on the quality, but generally in the 8-
2 to \$12,000-per-acre range. I'm talking about
3 good quality agricultural land. Obviously if
4 it's got poor shape, got poor drainage, if it's
5 got hydrology issues, it's going to be less.
6 Something with some additional amenities, it
7 could be higher.

8 Q. What is the amount of taxes per year per prime
9 acre in northern Illinois on farmland?

10 A. It varies. I don't -- I think you need to be
11 more -- help me be more definitive.

12 Q. Could it be in the amount of \$250 per acre?

13 A. Taxes? No.

14 Q. Pardon?

15 A. No. \$250 per acre for taxes, no.

16 Q. Higher or lower?

17 A. Lower.

18 Q. Will the assessed value increase when this
19 solar system becomes online?

20 A. For what properties?

21 Q. For the South Dixon Solar system. Will that
22 assessed value increase?

23 A. So there's two levels; there's taxes on the
24 land and then there's a State statute where

1 taxes are assessed based on the megawatt
2 production. And that amount is going to
3 dramatically increase. So the effective tax is
4 going to be dramatically increased over what it
5 is now.

6 Q. About how much?

7 THE WITNESS: I'm using my phone for a
8 calculator, Your Honor, if that's okay.

9 JUDGE SLAVIN: For a calculator, that's
10 fine.

11 THE WITNESS: Thank you.

12 A. Probably going to increase over \$300 per acre
13 for the properties under solar, generating the
14 energy. Not -- the normal -- the other
15 agricultural properties will probably go down.
16 Because it's basically like a balloon, and if
17 there's more money in it, it's going to shrink
18 the remaining pieces.

19 Q. This \$300 per acre increase, is that just where
20 the panels are or the whole 3,838 acres?

21 A. I did it over the -- whether it's 3600 or 3800
22 acres, that was the number I was using.

23 Q. Okay.

24 A. And that's just for the increase because of the

1 energy, and then the real estate taxes
2 themselves for the area outside of that will
3 probably diminish.

4 MS. JOHANNSEN: Thank you.

5 THE WITNESS: Yes.

6 JUDGE SLAVIN: Thank you.

7 Other folks in the room?

8 Mrs. Lawson.

9 MS. LAWSON: Good evening. Jennifer
10 Lawson. Adjacent landowner.

11 EXAMINATION

12 BY MS. LAWSON:

13 Q. So, Mr. MaRous, per the statement that you just
14 made and the testimony given by Ryerson
15 yesterday that taxes will dramatically increase;
16 therefore, due to that testimony and the seesaw
17 effect that she testified on, nonparticipating
18 landowners can see a decrease in their property
19 taxes?

20 JUDGE SLAVIN: If that's a question -- I'm
21 not clear if it's a question.

22 Q. (By Ms. Lawson:) Will landowners see a
23 decrease -- will nonparticipating landowners see
24 a decrease in their property taxes?

1 A. So the simple answer is yes, but if the
2 governmental bodies change the levy on some of
3 the other areas, let's say on the schools or
4 that, where they want to use that money to
5 upgrade the school system, then the money will
6 go towards those areas, which, for the same
7 comparable improvement, would have actually
8 raised the property taxes of those adjoining
9 property owners.

10 So I can't make a governmental -- or
11 decision because I'm not an elected official.
12 So if they get this extra million, three in,
13 they may decide that the schools, the roads, the
14 bridges need it or they may allocate it as a
15 reduction for the area affected.

16 Q. Okay. Thank you.

17 On Page 9, for the LaSalle County Matched
18 Pair Number 1, did the sale occur for the 1A
19 before or after the solar was built?

20 MR. BARRY: It's up on the screen, Mike.

21 THE WITNESS: Yeah.

22 MS. LAWSON: He can't see the screen.

23 A. The solar was either under construction or
24 built or had just been approved.

1 Q. (By Ms. Lawson:) On Page 12, for the same
2 house that you had the pre-comparisons on --

3 A. Yes.

4 Q. -- you just stated that it is a strong market.
5 You don't feel that the December 3rd, 2020, sale
6 is from a strong market and has a factor in that
7 19 percent increase in sale?

8 A. I do.

9 Q. Okay. Then on Page 17, is this the same
10 presentation that you utilized from the first
11 round?

12 A. No, it's -- there's some similar
13 characteristics but it's a new presentation.

14 Q. Where is the Property Number 6 located?

15 A. Southeast of the footprint, and I --

16 THE WITNESS: If you go several more
17 pages, Jonathan. One more.

18 A. You see this map of the footprint, and Number 6
19 is the bottom right. That's the location.

20 Q. (By Ms. Lawson:) That doesn't match your
21 Number 6 on this. That's Number 1.

22 JUDGE SLAVIN: You're telling him things.
23 You have got to ask him a question. You can't
24 testify. You're not under oath.

1 Q. (By Ms. Lawson:) So Number 6, does it or does
2 it not match Number 1?

3 A. You are correct. I apologize.

4 Q. So if there are no negative impacts on property
5 values and this area has a higher land value
6 than others, do you feel that the lease terms
7 for the participating landowners and the good
8 neighbor payment made to nonparticipating
9 landowners by Duke Energy is fair?

10 A. That --

11 JUDGE SLAVIN: I'm sorry, I -- what he
12 thinks is fair or not fair has nothing to do
13 with anything. It's -- these gentlemen make the
14 decision. We can all have an opinion, but
15 that's not relevant to this. Sorry.

16 MS. LAWSON: That's all I have. Thank
17 you.

18 JUDGE SLAVIN: Okay. Any other folks in
19 the room?

20 (No verbal response.)

21 JUDGE SLAVIN: Okay. Any follow-up,
22 Mr. Barry?

23 AUDIENCE MEMBER: Your Honor.

24 JUDGE SLAVIN: Yes, sir.

1 AUDIENCE MEMBER: There's a young lady.

2 JUDGE SLAVIN: I didn't see you. I'm
3 sorry. I'm so sorry.

4 If it's easier for you to sit, we can turn
5 on one of the microphones.

6 AUDIENCE MEMBER: No, that's fine. I just
7 have some questions.

8 JUDGE SLAVIN: Would you give us your name
9 and where you live in relation -- or work or own
10 a business in relation to the boundary of this
11 proposed project?

12 MS. BRECHON: I'm sorry, I can't
13 understand you.

14 JUDGE SLAVIN: Fair enough.

15 Would you tell us your name, please, and
16 where you either live, work or own a business in
17 relation to the boundary of this proposed
18 project?

19 MS. BRECHON: My name is Margaret Brechon,
20 and I live at -- in Dixon, 802 South Galena.
21 I'm not that close to the project.

22 JUDGE SLAVIN: How far away would you just
23 estimate?

24 MS. BRECHON: A couple miles maybe.

1 JUDGE SLAVIN: Okay. Thanks. Go ahead,
2 your questions of Mr. MaRous.

3 EXAMINATION

4 BY MS. BRECHON:

5 Q. I just wanted to know if -- in surveying these
6 projects, are any of the projects -- have they
7 been in operation for ten years or more, that
8 you know of?

9 A. I don't believe so.

10 Q. Okay. Thank you.

11 And the second question is, how many of
12 these projects approximately, percentage-wise,
13 have a backup with batteries so that they
14 operate 24 hours a day rather than just during
15 the daylight?

16 A. Of the projects that I have discussed, I
17 believe two of the larger Wisconsin projects
18 plan on having batteries. But, again, that may
19 not happen in the initial phase. That is the
20 trend under consideration for these new
21 projects, but it's, you know, generally part of
22 the approval process. But the original ones,
23 very few, if any, had battery backups.

24 Q. Do you know any of the more recent ones that

1 have batteries?

2 A. Well, again, I think in Wisconsin two of the
3 larger ones are planning to have batteries.

4 Q. Okay.

5 A. You know, I think it's a decision, do they do
6 it on the initial development or do they wait a
7 year or two?

8 MS. BRECHON: Okay. Thank you.

9 THE WITNESS: You're welcome.

10 JUDGE SLAVIN: Thank you.

11 Any other folks in the room that I missed?

12 My fault.

13 (No verbal response.)

14 JUDGE SLAVIN: Okay. Any follow-up,

15 Mr. Barry?

16 MR. BARRY: No. I would just renew my
17 request to admit.

18 JUDGE SLAVIN: Done. Now admitted. So
19 we're at 1, 2, and 3.

20 (Petitioner's Exhibit Number 3
21 admitted into evidence.)

22 JUDGE SLAVIN: And you may step down,
23 Mr. MaRous.

24 THE WITNESS: Thank you.

1 JUDGE SLAVIN: Perfect timing. It's
2 quarter after. Let's take ten.

3 (A recess was taken at 7:17 p.m.
4 and proceedings resumed at
5 7:27 p.m.)

6 (Whereupon, State's Attorney
7 Boonstra entered the hearing.)

8 JUDGE SLAVIN: All righty. Let's pick up
9 again, if everybody can find their places.

10 Ms. Kennedy, I see you're sitting at
11 counsel table, so you may proceed.

12 MS. KENNEDY: Thank you, Judge. I would
13 like to call Tom Huddleston as our next witness.

14 (Tom Huddleston was duly sworn.)

15 JUDGE SLAVIN: You may inquire.

16 MS. KENNEDY: Thank you.

17 TOM HUDDLESTON,
18 having been duly sworn, was examined and
19 testified as follows:

20 DIRECT EXAMINATION

21 BY MS. KENNEDY:

22 Q. Can you please state your name and spell it for
23 the record.

24 A. Yes. Tom Huddleston. Last name is spelled

1 H-U-D-D-L-E-S-T-O-N.

2 Q. And, Mr. Huddleston, can you tell us a little
3 bit about your background?

4 A. Yes. I am a drainage contractor. I am third
5 generation. I've actually laid drain tile for
6 over 50 years. I own a group of drainage
7 companies. My partner and I, Fred McBride, have
8 owned Huddleston & McBride for over 45 years.

9 Q. And do you have any experience with solar farms
10 or solar energy systems?

11 A. Yes, I do.

12 Q. And what, if anything, can you tell us about
13 that experience?

14 A. Well, we started about three years ago with
15 community solar and we did a hundred, plus or
16 minus, projects where we actually located the
17 drain tiles, evaluated the drain tiles, surveyed
18 them, and then rebuilt the drain tile systems
19 themselves.

20 And then recently, within the last year
21 and a half, we have been involved with utility
22 solar, which are larger projects.

23 Q. And is this the same experience with wind farms
24 as well?

1 A. Yes.

2 Q. Are you familiar with South Dixon Solar, LLC?

3 A. Yes, ma'am.

4 Q. And are you familiar with the proposed project
5 area?

6 A. Yes, ma'am.

7 Q. And what, if anything, can you tell about the
8 land included in the proposed project?

9 A. The projects all encounter what I would
10 consider prime farmland. It's all row crop.
11 It's basically beans and corn, most of it, some
12 pastureland, some wheat, but mostly beans and
13 corn.

14 Q. And the South Dixon Solar Project is required
15 to do a drain tile survey. Can you describe how
16 a drain tile survey is conducted?

17 A. Yes. There's several different types of
18 surveys. Normally on large sites we do what's
19 called a perimeter survey, where we trench
20 around the edges of the property and we locate
21 the drain tiles that are beneficial to the lands
22 of others, the upland, nonparticipating,
23 tributary landowners, and then we trace those
24 drain tiles through the project to where they

1 either egress the project itself or they
2 discharge into a ditch, and then we further make
3 recommendations on how to modify those drain
4 tiles.

5 Q. And so how can a solar energy system be
6 constructed to protect the integrity of the
7 existing drain tile system?

8 A. Well, in some cases you can avoid the drain
9 tiles. Once we locate them, when we do locate
10 them, we survey them on very tight intervals,
11 and then we lay those over the civil plans that
12 shows exactly where all the piles and the cables
13 are to be installed.

14 If we can't -- if we can't protect the
15 drain tiles, then we install new drain tiles in
16 several different methods. One is, take the old
17 tile out and put a new tile in. The other is
18 just to plow in new tile lines.

19 Q. So in the instance where you remove the drain
20 tile and you put new in, would you replace it
21 with similar quality or would it be better
22 quality?

23 A. We always restore them with better materials.
24 We actually use a polyethylene pipe called dual

1 wall. It's smooth wall on the inside,
2 corrugated on the outside. It's a rigid pipe,
3 comes in 14-or 20-foot sections. It is still
4 perforated, but it's a much higher grade pipe
5 than the existing pipe that we remove.

6 Q. And when you testified earlier that you locate
7 the drain tiles, how do you do that?

8 A. We have several methods. We always need to
9 encounter the drain tile with slit trenching.
10 Once we find the drain tile, we excavate it, we
11 run locators down the drain tiles or we hand
12 probe the drain tiles. We then stake them, and
13 then we excavate them in certain intervals to
14 gather information and data on them. Then we
15 survey them with a survey system on state prime
16 coordinates.

17 Q. And are you familiar with what's known as the
18 Agricultural Impact Mitigation Agreement for
19 solar projects?

20 A. Yes, ma'am.

21 Q. And is this also commonly referred to as the
22 AIMA?

23 A. Yes, it does.

24 Q. And does the AIMA include any requirements for

1 the repair of drain tiles if they're damaged
2 during the construction of a solar farm project?

3 A. Yes, ma'am, it does. The AIMA agreement
4 basically states that all drain tiles should be
5 protected, reinstalled or modified. The basis
6 of the AIMA agreement is to maintain the prime
7 farmland resource of the agricultural land
8 itself, which includes modifying and maintaining
9 the agricultural drainage system.

10 Q. And so are there certain techniques that are
11 covered in the AIMA for the actual repair of
12 that drain tile?

13 A. Yes, and the industry has standards within its
14 own.

15 Q. Okay. Can you describe some of those
16 techniques for me?

17 A. Sure. In some cases we actually remove the
18 drain tiles with excavators and install the new
19 drain tile in the same trench. In other
20 methods, we'll plow new drain tiles in or we'll
21 open-cut them with trenchers. In other cases,
22 we'll section repair those drain tiles with
23 adapters and new pipes to bring the drain tiles
24 out of conflict from the solar apparatus.

1 Q. And can you tell us a little bit, generally
2 speaking, about your role in the construction of
3 a solar project?

4 A. Well, we're actually drain tile contractors.
5 So we actually put the drain tile in. We do the
6 investigations, because we do a lot of design
7 work to put new systems in, and we have an
8 awareness of where tiles are and we understand
9 how to locate them. And so we locate them, we
10 map them, we give that information to the civil
11 engineer, the design engineer, and then we make
12 recommendations to the engineer on how to modify
13 the drain tiles. And then we'll actually
14 reinstall the drain tiles in some cases with
15 some other local contractors.

16 Q. And during this process do you perform any
17 outreach to adjacent nonparticipating
18 landowners?

19 A. We do. Part of our program is that -- it's
20 very important with a solar farm or a wind farm,
21 it's very important to protect other's rights to
22 drain. We call those drains legal mutual
23 drains. Those are large drain tiles that run
24 through the participating project and reach up

1 into the lands of others and actually benefit
2 the nonparticipating landowners. So it's very
3 important that we rebuild those drain tiles and
4 reestablish them.

5 So once we locate the drain tile and we
6 map it out, we then meet with the upland
7 landowners and invite them to be stakeholders in
8 the design process so that they are sure that
9 they'll maintain the benefit of free-flow
10 drainage that they had prior to the project.

11 Q. And do you do any outreach to the participating
12 landowners within the project?

13 A. Yes, and then after we take care of the
14 adjoining, the nonparticipating landowners, we
15 usually meet with the developer and the
16 individual landowners on the project to assess
17 exactly what their needs are and issues they
18 have had or what improvements they would like to
19 put in.

20 Q. And is it a fair statement that one of the
21 primary goals or drainage goals for the solar
22 energy project is really to have an obligation
23 to the landowners to maintain the stability of
24 the drainage tile?

1 A. It is the AIMA agreement, and the landowner's
2 required in most of the solar contracts that I
3 have seen, requires the developer to leave the
4 land once it's -- the project is over, leave it
5 in equal or better condition than when they
6 first took on the land.

7 Q. And so is it safe to say that drainage overall
8 would be improved after the solar farm has been
9 built and the drainage has been rerouted or
10 reconfigured?

11 A. Yes. Many of these drain tiles are well over a
12 hundred years old. So by putting new drain
13 tiles in now would keep us from having to
14 replace them at a later date.

15 Q. And how will the operator of the solar farm
16 know when a drain tile has been damaged?

17 A. Well, it's rather obvious. Water bores to the
18 surface and causes saturation to flume within a
19 particular area. And in some cases it will
20 actually erupt to the surface and start overland
21 ripples. So it's obvious from the aggregated
22 hydrology within a certain area.

23 Q. And do you have an opinion on what the
24 long-term impacts on agricultural land and soils

1 would have in a solar farm sited in an area
2 that's been primarily used for agriculture?

3 A. We actually think that allowing the
4 agricultural land to rest for 30-plus years,
5 allowing grasses to be planted where there will
6 be no erosion, to allow deep-rooted grasses that
7 actually regenerate the organics within the soil
8 structure, and to rebuild old and antiquated
9 drain tile systems is actually a benefit. And
10 when it comes out, the land will be ready to be
11 farmed.

12 Q. And with your experience in the drainage system
13 and in the ag industry, do you have any concerns
14 about taking acres out of row crop production
15 for a solar farm?

16 A. No, I do not.

17 MS. KENNEDY: I have nothing further.

18 JUDGE SLAVIN: Okay. How about you,
19 Mr. Boonstra?

20 STATE'S ATTORNEY BOONSTRA: No, sir.
21 Thank you.

22 JUDGE SLAVIN: How about you, Ms. Duffy?

23 MS. DUFFY: No, thank you.

24 JUDGE SLAVIN: Ms. Henkel?

1 MS. HENKEL: No, thank you.

2 JUDGE SLAVIN: Board members.

3 Mr. Forster?

4 MR. FORSTER: No questions.

5 JUDGE SLAVIN: How about you, Mr. Buhrow?

6 MR. BUHROW: No.

7 JUDGE SLAVIN: Mr. Bothe?

8 MR. BOTHE: No.

9 JUDGE SLAVIN: Mr. Hughes?

10 MR. HUGHES: No questions.

11 JUDGE SLAVIN: Okay. To folks in the

12 hearing room, here in the courtroom.

13 Mr. Lawson.

14 MR. LAWSON: Jamie Lawson.

15 EXAMINATION

16 BY MR. LAWSON:

17 Q. If you had to put electric -- if you had to
18 bore underneath a creek or a roadbed and put a
19 conduit in there to run something through that
20 conduit for anything that you do, and then the
21 wire's removed but the conduit is not, is that
22 leaving the property like you found it or
23 better?

24 A. I can't answer that. I'm a drainage

1 contractor. I'm not sure about the electrical
2 components.

3 Q. Thank you.

4 Have you ever performed work on a solar
5 farm with this -- have you ever performed work
6 on a solar farm covering this many acres of
7 prime farmland?

8 A. No, I have not. I have done several -- I have
9 done many solar farms. I haven't done one yet
10 of this size.

11 Q. This size, with this much prime farm ground,
12 you never have?

13 A. No.

14 MR. LAWSON: Thank you.

15 JUDGE SLAVIN: Other folks in the room, by
16 raise of your hand.

17 Mrs. Johannsen, I saw you first.

18 MS. JOHANNSEN: Martha Johannsen.

19 EXAMINATION

20 BY MS. JOHANNSEN:

21 Q. How deep are the drain tiles located?

22 A. Excuse me?

23 Q. How deep, how far down, are the drain tiles
24 located generally?

1 A. Most drain tiles are a minimum of 24 inches and
2 they can go all the way to 7 or 8 feet,
3 depending on the grade of the land. I would say
4 an average is probably 36 to 40 inches.

5 Q. How deep will the solar system wires be buried?

6 A. I don't have an answer to that.

7 Q. Okay. Since we haven't had any decommissioning
8 yet, I was wondering -- okay. Since we haven't
9 had any decommissioning of solar systems yet,
10 how can we be absolutely sure that the land will
11 be as good as or even better than it was before
12 the solar system was built?

13 A. Well, that's my opinion based on the fact that
14 land always is more productive when we put new
15 drain tile systems in place. The land also
16 produces better when grasses are planted or
17 organics are back in the soils, as proven back
18 when we had several years of set-aside
19 programming.

20 MS. JOHANNSEN: Thank you.

21 THE WITNESS: Yes ma'am. Ma'am.

22 JUDGE SLAVIN: Anybody else?

23 Ms. Lawson.

24 MS. LAWSON: Jennifer Lawson.

EXAMINATION

1
2 BY MS. LAWSON:

3 Q. So it was actually previously testified on
4 October 12th, 2021, that there is a potential
5 for them to leave the hulls of the conduit when
6 they do decommission this.

7 So will leaving those hulls during -- at
8 decommissioning adversely affect drain tiles?

9 A. No.

10 Q. Okay. Do you feel that is returning it to the
11 previous state, leaving those?

12 A. Obviously it's not returning it to its previous
13 condition, but I can't identify a reason why it
14 would be detrimental to the -- to raising
15 agricultural crops.

16 Q. You mentioned inviting stakeholders and
17 adjoining landowners to review designs?

18 A. Yes, ma'am.

19 Q. What's your typical timeframe for that? Is it
20 after the approval of -- if this proceeds?

21 A. The drain tile investigation would take place
22 probably after the approval. And so when we
23 locate the drain tiles, I would meet with the
24 individual landowners who benefit from the drain

1 tiles I need to replace. And the reason I do
2 that is, we just want to generally be sure that
3 we have the proper drainage in place that that
4 individual had benefitted from.

5 Q. So if there are drain tiles that need to be
6 replaced on nonparticipating landowners, is that
7 paid by Duke Energy or is that a recommendation
8 made by your company then?

9 A. It's a recommendation, but it's an agreement
10 between Duke Energy and the landowner.

11 Q. Okay. Nonparticipating landowners?

12 A. Correct, the nonparticipating landowners would
13 not pay for --

14 Q. Okay.

15 A. It's Illinois Drainage Code, it's actually the
16 law, that we continue to allow that individual
17 to benefit. So we have to be absolutely sure
18 that that drain is out of conflict and it won't
19 fail.

20 Q. Are you being paid by Duke Energy?

21 A. Yes, ma'am.

22 MS. LAWSON: That is all I have. Thank
23 you.

24 JUDGE SLAVIN: Thank you.

1 Anybody else in the room? Yes, sir.

2 MR. MIX: Good evening. Jonathan Mix,
3 M-I-X. I work in the area. I actually work all
4 over Illinois. I'm a Millwright, Local 2158
5 representative.

6 EXAMINATION

7 BY MR. MIX:

8 Q. My question is, how many years have you been
9 installing and servicing and repairing drain
10 tiles?

11 A. I'm third generation. So my family has done
12 it. So I mean, I have installed drain tiles
13 since I was -- for over 50 years, in my teens,
14 but I have owned these companies for over 45
15 years.

16 Q. Okay. And then approximately, if you could put
17 a number on it, how many acres of tiling have
18 you done in your course load?

19 A. Oh, my gosh. I do a lot of large -- I actually
20 work in Huddleston & McBride. The other
21 companies we own and oversee. And Huddleston &
22 McBride, we do many, many commercial and
23 residential projects of many thousands of acres,
24 the investigations, and then the drain tile

1 abandonment and then, of course, we install the
2 drain tile as well. So I think I do well
3 over -- well over a hundred thousand acres a
4 year.

5 MR. MIX: Okay. Thank you very much.

6 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.

7 JUDGE SLAVIN: Thank you.

8 Any other folks in the room?

9 (No verbal response.)

10 JUDGE SLAVIN: All right. Turning to
11 Zoomers.

12 MR. FITTS: I have got a question.

13 JUDGE SLAVIN: I'm sorry, Charlie. Yeah,
14 go ahead. Careful. You can go ahead and ask
15 him from right there.

16 THE WITNESS: That's fine, I can hear you.

17 MR. HUGHES: Zoomers have got to be able
18 to hear him too.

19 JUDGE SLAVIN: You're right.

20 EXAMINATION

21 BY MR. FITTS:

22 Q. Are you going -- you're talking about drain
23 tiles. Before you put the solar farm in, are
24 you taking out all the old ones and replacing

1 them with new ones or is -- or -- or are you
2 here so they don't hit -- I mean, you check --
3 you mark the drain tiles so they don't hit it
4 when they drill the posts for the panels? Or
5 are you replacing all the tiles in this --

6 JUDGE SLAVIN: Charlie --

7 Q. (By Mr. Fitts:) -- solar farm?

8 JUDGE SLAVIN: Charlie, that's a long
9 question for anybody to answer. Let's start
10 with this, I'll ask it for you: when you -- if
11 the solar farm is approved, Mr. Huddleston, and
12 you start to work on the tiles, do you replace
13 them all or just broken ones?

14 THE WITNESS: We protect the drain tiles
15 that we can -- we protect the drain tiles that
16 we can, and then we install new drain tiles in
17 place of older drain tiles which have either
18 failed or will be hit by the solar.

19 So the end result is that we put enough --
20 we maintain enough drainage, install enough
21 drainage so the farm can continue to drain as it
22 did in pre-solar conditions.

23 MR. FITTS: Okay. Thank you.

24 JUDGE SLAVIN: Is that all?

1 Okay. Turning to Zoomers. I'll remind
2 you, if you want to ask Mr. Huddleston a
3 question, on the bottom hit "Participant" and
4 then on the list, click on "Raise Hand."

5 If you're on your cell phone, stay with
6 us, but asterisk number -- and then the number
7 nine.

8 We'll wait a few seconds here for folks.
9 Everybody help me, if you can. Looking for
10 raised hands. Looking, looking.

11 All right. Seeing none, you may -- unless
12 you have any follow-up, Ms. Kennedy?

13 MS. KENNEDY: I do not.

14 JUDGE SLAVIN: You may step down. Thank
15 you.

16 Any further evidence for this evening,
17 Ms. Kennedy?

18 MS. KENNEDY: Not for this evening, judge.

19 JUDGE SLAVIN: Okay. Very good. We will
20 recess until -- let me check something -- just
21 bear with me a minute, please. All right. The
22 evening of October 27th, that's a Wednesday, is
23 that -- we okayed that previously, but if it's
24 changed, please let me know, any of the

1 necessaries. 6 o'clock, here at the Old Lee
2 County Courthouse. 6 o'clock, October 27th, a
3 Wednesday. Okay. Everybody have a good week --

4 MS. DUFFY: Judge, have we talked about
5 the 19th, next Tuesday?

6 MR. BOTHE: The 19th.

7 JUDGE SLAVIN: Oh, my God. I'm sorry.
8 Thank you. I thought I was -- getting ahead of
9 myself. Never mind. Thank you, Dee.

10 The 19th, which is one, two, three, four,
11 five days from now. I apologize. October 19th,
12 6 o'clock, here at the Old Lee County
13 Courthouse. I apologize. Somehow I looked at
14 my calendar and thought today was the 19th but
15 it's not.

16 I have confused you now, haven't I,
17 Mr. Bothe?

18 MR. BOTHE: Yeah.

19 JUDGE SLAVIN: Okay. 19th, 6 o'clock.

20 (The hearing was recessed at
21 7:49 p.m.)

22
23
24

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24

On this 14th day of October, A.D., 2021, I do
signify that the foregoing testimony was given
before the Lee County Zoning Board of Appeals.

Bruce Forster, Chairman

Dee Duffy,
Zoning Enforcement Officer

Callie S. Bodmer

Callie S. Bodmer
Certified Shorthand Reporter
Registered Professional Reporter
IL License No. 084-004489
P.O. Box 381
Dixon, Illinois 61021